Addendum 1: Academic Research Track (ART)-Tenure Track, Criteria and Metrics for Promotion/Tenure

DOMAIN	Associate Professor- ART-TT	Professor-ART-TT
	(criteria for promotion and tenure)	(criteria for promotion)
Research	<ul> <li>PI on major extramural research grant and grant renewal or at least one of:</li> <li>PI on a second grant &gt;10% effort</li> <li>PI on multi-PI grant</li> <li>Substantial career award</li> <li>Substantive patents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Marked capacity for research including extended history of extramural grant support</li> <li>Continuous contribution to a field</li> <li>Established National/International reputation</li> <li>Minimum of 25 publications</li> </ul>
National Recognition	Potential for sustained academic contributions and impact. Recognition, review panel appointments, editorial/advising boards, invitations for seminars and chairing in international symposia	Established National or international reputation
Teaching	<ul> <li>Significant commitment to teaching medical and graduate students and in mentoring in the lab</li> <li>Innovative teaching and/or design of new course material</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Minimum is comparable with criteria for Associate</li> <li>Continuous contribution to learning</li> <li>Excellence and/or leadership</li> </ul>
Service	<ul> <li>Participation in service to Dept. and         University        outside professional groups and         organizations (study sections, editorial         boards)</li> <li>Organizational activities at professional;         meetings</li> </ul>	Minimum is comparable with criteria from Associate Professor     More activity at the National and International levels expected
OTHER	<ul> <li>Letters of evaluation</li> <li>4-6, 4 not former mentors</li> <li>From prominent individuals</li> <li>CVs from letter writers</li> <li>Mid-tenure review documents</li> <li><a href="https://ssom.luc.edu/cart/">https://ssom.luc.edu/cart/</a> for further information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Letters of evaluation</li> <li>4-6, 4 not former mentors</li> <li>From prominent individuals, Professor level</li> <li>CVs from letter writers</li> <li><a href="https://ssom.luc.edu/cart/">https://ssom.luc.edu/cart/</a> for further information</li> </ul>

## ART Addendum 2:

Letter of Evaluation Request Part 1 – Expert in Field; not known to candidate

Date (dated within 6 months of due date for promotion packets)

Dr. <mark>Name of Proposed Letter Writer</mark> <mark>Address</mark> City, State, Zip Code

Re: Letter of Evaluation for Dr. Candidate

Dear Dr. <mark>Name</mark>:

Dr. Candidate, an Associate/Assistant Professor in the Department of Specialty Name is being considered for promotion from Assistant/Associate Professor to Associate/Professor of Specialty at Loyola University Chicago. Given your reputation and standing in the field of Specialty, would you be willing to review Dr. Candidate's curriculum vitae and write a letter of evaluation of his/her research program, national and international stature as a scientist, and potential for acquiring continued external grant support? This evaluation letter would need to be submitted on letterhead with your signature no later than Date.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. Please respond to this e-mail with your decision and I will forward the necessary documents for your review.

Thank you for your consideration of this request,

Chairman's Name Title Department of <mark>Specialty</mark> Loyola University Chicago

\*Yellow highlights indicate personalized fields

## ART Addendum 2:

Letter of Evaluation Request Part 2 – Expert in Field; not known to candidate

<u>Date</u>

Dr. <mark>Name of Letter Writer</mark> <mark>Address</mark> City, State, Zip Code

Re: Letter of Evaluation for Dr. Candidate

Dear Dr. <mark>Nam</mark>e:

Thank you for agreeing to evaluate Dr. Name for promotion from Assistant/Associate Professor to Associate Professor of Specialty at Loyola University Chicago. Included with this communication is Dr. Name's curriculum vitae, a research statement, and a PDF of two recent publications. Please review these documents and write a letter of evaluation addressed to my attention by date.

Following are suggested areas of assessment to include in your letter:

- Dr. Name's research program and the impact of their research program on the scientific community;
- Potential for acquiring continued external grant support after promotion;
- Any other academic contributions, including teaching, and department, university and community service;
- A short summary about whether Dr. NAME would merit tenure at your institution with specific achievements that support your assessment.
- Assessment of whether Dr. NAME's research and recognition in the scientific community has resulted in their being regarded as a well-recognized scholar in their area of research. Evidence for this includes the quality of the candidate's scholastic contributions, invitations to speak at meetings, lead meetings and/or service on grant review panels.

When returning your evaluation of Dr. Candidate, please provide a copy of your current CV or a NIH biosketch for our reviewers.

Thank you for taking time to review these documents. Your time and efforts are greatly appreciated.

Chairman's Name Title Department of <mark>Specialty</mark> Loyola University Chicago